United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,101	03/06/2002	Mark Hendricks Leymaster	17243-00043	9571	
7590 11/14/2007 John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, QUOC A		
Suite 2600 One Metropoli	tan So		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-	St Louis, MO 63102			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AD

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/092,101	LEYMASTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tran A. Quoc	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	Jaust 2007.					
,	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11,20-32 and 48 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,20-32 and 48</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

This action is a **non-final** rejection in response to RCE/ Amendment filed on 08/29/2007. Claims 1-11, 20-32, and 48 are pending; Claims 1, and 20 are independent claims and have been amended. Claims 12-19, and 33-47 have been cancelled; Effective filing date 03/06/2002 (GE).

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/29/2007 has been entered.

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim(s) 1-11, 20-32, and 48 rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph**, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the independent claims 1, 20 and dependent 48, added the limitation "contractual provision", this term was not in the original disclosure. The specification indicates that the invention is directed to complex transaction and uses the term 'deal' to define these 'complex transactions'. In addition nowhere in the disclosure is the word 'provision' in relation to 'deal'.

It is recommended that term "contractual provision' be replaced with "complex transaction'. See the current Application Specification at Page 1 Para 2, "Businesses engaging in complex involved transactions, referred to herein as "deals," such as commercial financing, mergers, acquisitions and real estate transactions, generate

Art Unit: 2176

lengthy and complex documents in order to negotiate, finalize, and document such deals."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim(s) 1-11, 20-32, and 48 rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph**, INDEFINITE.

Evidence that independent claims 1, 20 and dependent 48, recite the limitation "contractual provision", as explained above this term is not used in the original disclosure, therefore it is indefinite what is being claimed.

In the interest of compact prosecution, the application is further examined against the prior art, as stated below, upon the assumption that the applicants may overcome the above stated rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Art Unit: 2176

Interpretations of Claims Language

In the broadest reasonable interpretation, Examine interpret the claimed in light of the specs, It is noted that the terms:

"contractual provision", also is read as "complex transaction". See the current Application Specification at Page 1 Para 2, "Businesses engaging in complex involved transactions, referred to herein as "deals," such as commercial financing, mergers, acquisitions and real estate transactions, generate lengthy and complex documents in order to negotiate, finalize, and document such deals."

Also see the current Application Specification at Page 5 Para 31, "the DDACS (Deal Document Assembly Coordination System) is utilized to collect data that relates to a deal involving a business entity relationship or "deal data." Deal data includes at least one of general business information for a business entity, a deal description or deal definition, a deal template and structure, allied deal information, assembled documents, and the parties involved in the financial transaction or deal. The DDACS prompts the users to input certain deal data, select a class of document to be assembled, and then prompts the user to select from a list of issues and matters those specific documents that are necessary for the business deal. The user is then prompted to supply specific data for the deal, such as names and dates, and after checking the data for validity and consistency, the DDACS then enables the user to assemble the output document relating to the business deal so that the deal may be negotiated and documented.

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 20-32 and 48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Foy</u> et al. US 20020046235A1- filed 03/02/2001 (hereinafter Foy), in view of <u>Broadbent</u> et al. US 20020178190A1- filed 05/22/2001 (hereinafter Broadbent).

Independent claim 1, Foy teaches: (as amended)

A document assembly production system,

(See Foy at Para 3, discloses a method of creating and delivering a document in a computer system comprising a host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path in which method: the host server transmits to the client terminal in electronic form prompts for guiding a user located at the client terminal through a document creation process; the user enters at the client terminal document creation information identifying the nature of a required document and document data for populating the document; a complete document is created by an automated document creation process at the host server having a structure defined by the document creation information and populated by said document data and the complete document is

Art Unit: 2176

transmitted in electronic form from the host server to the remote client terminal via the data transmission path.)

comprising: a server having a plurality of templates and other document assembly assets including a plurality of input documents stored therein.

(See Foy at Fig. 4-7 and Para 32, teaching a server having a plurality of templates and other document assembly assets including a plurality of input documents stored therein.)

and at least one remote computer configured to communicate with said server directing said server to access said plurality of templates.

(See Foy at Fig. 4-7 and Para 32, discloses remote computer configured to communicate with said server directing said server to access said plurality of templates.

Said sever configured to: Prompt a user through the at least one remote computer to select a template from the plurality of templates,

(See Foy at Para 32, discloses remote computer configured to communicate with said server directing said server to access said plurality of templates.)

each template is associated with a class document to be assembled for types of transaction, wherein each document class includes a plurality of document types.

(See Foy at Para 31, discloses each document template 81 has a number of documents, each document 71 having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections 67 in which content objects 63 are received. The templates have various

Art Unit: 2176

structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders 73-80, which act as insertion points for the content appropriate to the section (i.e. a type of document object is reasonably interprets as a class of the object).

Also see Foy at Fig 4-5 and also at Para 30, discloses the content objects 63 of each collection 65 are also labeled with structural identifiers 67 indicating to which section of a document template 81 they relate. Different structural identifiers 67 may relate to, for example, heading sections, overview sections, detail sections and appendices. For example content objects 63 as belonging to a plurality of document components collections 65 A, B, C . . . X, Y based on their subject matter (i.e. document class). In this example the document components are clauses of a legal document (i.e. document type).

each template includes logic for controlling a structure of the assembled document wherein the logic controls displaying document structure questions and identifying input documents used for performing the document assembly;

(See Foy at Para 39, discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template.)

display document structure questions on the remote computer, wherein the document structure questions displayed are controlled by logic and conditions imbedded in the selected template and are displayed in a tree format,

Art Unit: 2176

(See Foy at Para 39, discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template.

See also Foy at Para 15, discloses the system is particularly suitable for the creation of legal documents such as assignments, conveyance documents, employment contracts.)

receive a response for each document structure question displayed, wherein the document structure responses determine the document types included within the assembled document;

(See Foy at Para 13-15 and 39, discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template. an automated document creation process comprising: transmitting in electronic form prompts to a user in accordance with a first set of rules associated with a document type to allow a user to identify clauses for inclusion in the document; transmitting in electronic form questions to a user in accordance with a second set of rules to obtain personal document data for populating the document; and compiling a complete document according to a third set of rules governing inclusion of the clauses in the document and population of the clauses by the personal document data; when run on a computer, where the system is particularly suitable for the creation of legal documents such as assignments, conveyance documents, employment contracts.)

identify pre-assigned, modifiable input documents from the plurality of input documents compatible with the selected template and the document

Art Unit: 2176

structure responses for generating the documents to be assembled, the identified input documents including data fill-points;

(See Foy at Para 39, discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template.

Also see at Para 13-15, discloses electronic form prompts to a user in accordance with a first set of rules associated with a document type to allow a user to identify clauses for inclusion in the document; transmitting in electronic form questions to a user in accordance with a second set of rules to obtain personal document data for populating the document; and compiling a complete document according to a third set of rules governing inclusion of the clauses in the document and population of the clauses by the personal document data; when run on a computer, where the system is particularly suitable for the creation of legal documents such as assignments, conveyance documents, employment contracts.)

display transaction questions on the remote computer, wherein the transaction questions displayed are controlled by logic and conditions imbedded in the selected template and the document structure responses;

(See Foy at Para 13-15 and 39, discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template. an automated document creation process comprising: transmitting in electronic form prompts to a user in accordance with a first set of rules associated with a document type to allow a user to identify clauses for inclusion in the document; transmitting in electronic form questions to a user in accordance with a second set of

Art Unit: 2176

rules to obtain personal document data for populating the document; and compiling a complete document according to a third set of rules governing inclusion of the clauses in the document and population of the clauses by the personal document data; when run on a computer, where the system is particularly suitable for the creation of legal documents such as assignments, conveyance documents, employment contracts.)

receive a response for each transaction question displayed, wherein the transaction responses populate the data fill-points included within the identified input documents;

(See Foy at Para 13-15 and 39, discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template. an automated document creation process comprising: transmitting in electronic form prompts to a user in accordance with a first set of rules associated with a document type to allow a user to identify clauses for inclusion in the document; transmitting in electronic form questions to a user in accordance with a second set of rules to obtain personal document data for populating the document; and compiling a complete document according to a third set of rules governing inclusion of the clauses in the document and population of the clauses by the personal document data; when run on a computer, where the system is particularly suitable for the creation of legal documents such as assignments, conveyance documents, employment contracts.)

Art Unit: 2176

In addition, Foy does not expressly teach, but Broadbent teaches:

each document type represents specific contractual provisions typically associated with completing the corresponding transaction type, the document structure questions identifying a predetermined plurality of contractual provisions that the user can elect from for inclusion within the assembled document,

(See Broadbent at Para 185, discloses the loan product information is complex, and there are several compliance rules that arise out of different characteristics of the lender's loan product. (i.e. Complex transaction and deal)

Also See Broadbent at Para 178 discloses the `loan` structure contains all the information pertaining to a specific loan application, and the type of loan applied for.

This is the information that is evaluated by the `rules.contexts.context.if` expression to determine whether the conditions specified in the context definitions are true in the case of a specific loan.

Also see Broadbent at Para 140, teaching Automated Compliance Engine, which is a rule based system, where each expression represents the 'if' part of a rule, and the subset of tasks associated with the expression represents the 'then' part of a rule.

Also, see Broadbent para 182, teaching for each loan product, a description containing the product attributes that are required for compliance analysis, such as whether ARM, fixed, balloon, index, etc. Each loan application is linked to this information via the loanproductld compliance parameter.

Art Unit: 2176

the document structure questions linked to specific document types representing the predetermined plurality of contractual provision, whereby responding to the document structure questions the user includes the selected contractual provisions within the assembled document to complete the transaction type;

Also, see Broadbent fig. 9 and para 140, teaching Automated Compliance Engine, which is a rule based system, where each expression represents the 'if' part of a rule, and the subset of tasks associated with the expression represents the 'then' part of a rule.

Also, see Broadbent para 182, teaching for each loan product, a description containing the product attributes that are required for compliance analysis, such as whether ARM, fixed, balloon, index, etc. Each loan application is linked to this information via the loanproductld compliance parameter.

It would have been obvious to provide user specific data for populating any clauses of the document (user is prompted to provide information to enable the selection of content) requiring such data as taught by Foy, to includes a means of generating the document structure questions linked to specific document types representing the predetermined plurality of contractual provision, within the assembled document to complete the transaction type as taught by Broadbent, in order to generate the complex loan product information that is complied with compliance rules that arise out of different characteristics of the lender's loan product. (i.e. *Complex transaction and deal*) See Broadbent at Para 178.)

Art Unit: 2176

Independent claim 20, (as amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is fully incorporated, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale. In addition, Pope teaches:

a database coupled said server for storing a plurality of templates and other document assembly assets including a plurality of input documents.

(See Foy at Fig. 4-7 and Para 32, teaching a server having a plurality of templates and other document assembly assets including a plurality of input documents stored therein.)

Claim 2, Foy teaches:

comprising at least one database coupled to said server, each template stored in said database.

(See Foy at Fig. 4-7 and Para 32, teaching a server having a plurality of templates and other document assembly assets including a plurality of input documents stored therein.)

Claim 3, Foy teaches:

wherein said database comprises at least one security system that limits access to said database to authorized users.

(See Foy para 48, database comprises at least one security system that limits access to said database to authorized users.)

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 4, Foy teaches:

wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server to restructure and reassemble a previously assembled document.

(See Foy at Para 31, discloses each document template 81 has a number of documents, each document 71 having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections 67 in which content objects 63 are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders 73-80, which act as insertion points for the content appropriate to the section.

Also see Foy at Fig 4-5 and also at Para 30, discloses the content objects 63 of each collection 65 are also labeled with structural identifiers 67 indicating to which section of a document template 81 they relate. Different structural identifiers 67 may relate to, for example, heading sections, overview sections, detail sections and appendices. For example content objects 63 as belonging to a plurality of document components collections 65 A, B, C . . . X, Y based on their subject matter (i.e. document class). In this example the document components are clauses of a legal document (i.e. document type).

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 5, Foy teaches:

wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server to restructure.

(See Foy at the Abstract, discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.

Also See Foy at Para 13-15 and 39 discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template. an automated document creation process comprising: transmitting in electronic form prompts to a user in accordance with a first set of rules associated with a document type to allow a user to identify clauses for inclusion in the document;

Also, see Foy at Para 7, teaching it is possible to create two or more related documents simultaneously. Each complete document may contain a reference to another document stored at the host server.)

Claim 6,

The rejection of claim 1 is fully incorporated,

In addition Foy does not expressly teach, but Broadbent teaches:

said other assembly assets to assure compliance with state and federal laws, rules, and regulations, and business entity rules, regulations, and policies.

Art Unit: 2176

(See Broadbent para 27, teaching the LOS with a platform to allow other entities to underwrite the loan compliance system which contains a rules engine built around the required Federal and State regulations and which tracks and records every step in the process to provide a record of completion for Federal and State regulators and to assure that loan originators meet and exceed federal, state, local and professional laws governing the relations between real estate sales and mortgage lending activities.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Broadbent's Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to a rule engine into Foy's document template having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections in which content objects are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders; provides an assurance that loan originators meet and exceed federal, state, local and professional laws governing the relations between real estate sales and mortgage lending activities (see Broadbent at page 3 paragraph [0027]).

Claim 7, Foy teaches:

wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server to integrate pre-approved documents from another computer system into said assembled document as said documents are being assembled.

Art Unit: 2176

(See Foy at the Abstract, discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.

Also See Foy at Para 13-15 and 39 discloses a logic tree for generating questions 110 for the user, the responses 111 to which questions identify content for inclusion in the template. an automated document creation process comprising: transmitting in electronic form prompts to a user in accordance with a first set of rules associated with a document type to allow a user to identify clauses for inclusion in the document;

Also, see Foy at Para 7, teaching it is possible to create two or more related documents simultaneously. Each complete document may contain a reference to another document stored at the host server.)

Claim 8, Foy teaches:

wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server to display at least one of a user identity who created said document assembly.

(See Foy at the Abstract, discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.

Also See Foy para 48, database comprises at least one security system that limits access to said database to authorized users.)

Art Unit: 2176

In addition, Foy does not expressly teach, but Broadbent teaches:

and a workflow status of said document assembly.

(See Broadbent at page 25 paragraph [0271] also see fig. 5 and 20), discloses

Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to `Loan Fulfillment Workflow Engine`.

governing the relations between real estate sales and mortgage lending activities.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Broadbent's Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to a rule engine, includes a workflow status of said document assembly into Foy's document template having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections in which content objects are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders; provides an assurance that loan originators meet and exceed federal, state, local and professional laws governing the relations between real estate sales and mortgage lending activities (see Broadbent at page 3 paragraph [0027]).

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 9, Foy teaches:

wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server,

(See Foy at the Abstract, discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.

In addition, Foy does not explicitly teach, but Broadbent teaches:

A document assembly system in accordance with Claim 1 wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server to display a report including at least one of a summary of all document assembly elements, a summary of missing and incomplete parameters, and a summary of missing and corrupted document assembly elements.

(See Broadbent at page 25 paragraph [0271] also see fig. 5 and 20), discloses

Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to `Loan Fulfillment Workflow Engine`.

Using the broadest reasonable interpretation,

the Examiner equates the claimed display a report including at least one of a summary of all document assembly elements, a summary of missing and incomplete parameters, and a summary of missing and corrupted document assembly elements as equivalent to `Loan Fulfillment Workflow Engine` as taught by Broadbent.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Broadbent's Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to a rule engine, includes said at least one remote computer is further

Art Unit: 2176

configured to communicate with said server to display a report including at least one of a summary of all document assembly elements, a summary of missing and incomplete parameters, and a summary of missing and corrupted document assembly elements into Foy's document template having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections in which content objects are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders; provides an assurance that loan originators meet and exceed federal, state, local and professional laws governing the relations between real estate sales and mortgage lending activities (see Broadbent at page 3 paragraph [0027]).

Claim 10, Foy teaches:

A document assembly system in accordance with Claim 9 wherein said at least one remote computer.

(See Foy at the Abstract, discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.)

In addition, Foy does not explicitly teach, but Broadbent teaches:

is further configured to communicate with said server to displayed the report prior to finalizing the assembly of the fully-formatted documents.

(See Broadbent at page 25 paragraph [0271] also see fig. 5 and 20), discloses

Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to `Loan Fulfillment Workflow Engine`.

Art Unit: 2176

Also see Broadbent at Para 24, discloses for a given loan transaction, the set of tasks required to process and complete the loan transaction, including tasks required by applicably federal or state law, and to track the set of tasks during the process itself to reasonably assure that compliance with these rules and regulations can be reported, or alternatively, that compliance task completion may be traced to the entity reporting completion.)

Using the broadest reasonable interpretation, the Examiner equates the claimed assembly of the fully-formatted documents as equivalent to `Loan Fulfillment Workflow Engine` to complete the loan transaction as taught by Broadbent.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Broadbent's Automated Compliance Engine (ACE) couples to a rule engine, include a means of displayed the report prior to finalizing the assembly of the fully-formatted documents into Foy's document template having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections in which content objects are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders; provides an assurance that loan originators meet and exceed federal, state, local and professional laws governing the relations between real estate sales and mortgage lending activities (see Broadbent at page 3 paragraph [0027]).

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 11, Foy teaches:

wherein said at least one remote computer is further configured to communicate with said server to provide secure access to said server such that only authorized users can access said document assembly data.

(See Foy at the Abstract, discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.

Also see Foy para 48, database comprises at least one security system that limits access to said database to authorized users.)

reports generated by said system relating to said assembled documents, data links provided within said system, and data stored in at least one database coupled to said server.

(See Foy at the Abstract discloses host server and a remote client terminal connected via a data transmission path.

Also see Foy at Fig. 4-7 and Para 32, discloses remote computer configured to communicate with said server directing said server to access said plurality of templates.)

Claims 21-29: (respectively)

the rejections of claims 2-9, which cite above (respectively) are fully incorporated, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 30:

the rejection of claim 11, which cite a above, is fully incorporated, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 31:

the rejection of claims 1 and 20, which cite above, are fully incorporated, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 32:

the rejection of claims 1, 10 and 20, which cite are fully incorporated, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 48, Foy teaches:

wherein each document class is associated with a specific type of business transaction and comprises a plurality of document types.

(See Foy at Para 31, discloses each document template 81 has a number of documents, each document 71 having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections 67 in which content objects 63 are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents (i.e. a type of document object is reasonably interprets as a class of the object) intended to be created therefrom,

Art Unit: 2176

wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders 73-80, which act as insertion points for the content appropriate to the section.)

each document type represents specific contractual provisions typically associated with documenting the specific type of business transaction including alternative and optional contractual provisions selectable by the user based on the specific type of business transaction being documented.

(See Foy at Para 31, discloses each document template 81 has a number of documents, each document 71 having a predetermined structure defined by a plurality of sections 67 in which content objects 63 are received. The templates have various structures, which depend upon the types of documents intended to be created therefrom, wherein each section has a plurality of place-holders 73-80, which acts as insertion points for the content appropriate to the section.

Also see Foy at Fig 4-5 and also at Para 30, discloses the content objects 63 of each collection 65 are also labeled with structural identifiers 67 indicating to which section of a document template 81 they relate. Different structural identifiers 67 may relate to, for example, heading sections, overview sections, detail sections and appendices. For example content objects 63 as belonging to a plurality of document components collections 65 A, B, C . . . X, Y based on their subject matter (i.e. document class). In this example the document components are clauses of a legal document (i.e. document type).

Art Unit: 2176

See Foy at Fig. 6 and also at Para 37, discloses the user is led through the process of determining which document types they need and may not necessarily be given a choice in list form from which to select. It is of course also possible for the user to select the type of document desired by clicking through options on the screen.

Also see Foy at Para 15, discloses the document types are legal documents such as assignments, conveyance documents, employment contracts.)

It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See, MPEP 2123.

Response to Arguments

The Remarks filed on 08/29/2007 has been fully considered but are moot but in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This office action is a Non-Final Rejection in order to give the applicant sufficient opportunity to response to the new line of rejection (see rejection for details).

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc A. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-8664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Quoc A, Tran/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176 11/12/2007

Doug Huttonl
Doug Hutton

Doug Hutton
Supervisory Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2100